#### On Incidence Colorings of Graphs

#### Borut Lužar<sup>1</sup>

joint work with

#### Petr Gregor<sup>2</sup> & Roman Soták<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Information Studies, Novo mesto, Slovenia

<sup>2</sup>Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

<sup>3</sup>Pavol J. Šafárik University, Košice, Slovakia

September 5, 2016

(日) (四) (문) (문) (문)

#### Incidences

- In a graph G, an incidence is a pair (v, e), where  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $e \in E(G)$ , and v is incident to e.
- Two incidences (v, e) and (u, f) are adjacent if:

(a) 
$$v = u$$
, or  
(b)  $e = f$ , or  
(c)  $vu \in \{e, f\}$ .



・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

э

A k-incidence coloring of a graph is any coloring of its incidences, using k colors, such that adjacent incidences receive distinct colors.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- A k-incidence coloring of a graph is any coloring of its incidences, using k colors, such that adjacent incidences receive distinct colors.
- The smallest k for which a k-incidence coloring of a graph G exists is called the incidence chromatic number of G, χ<sub>i</sub>(G).

- A k-incidence coloring of a graph is any coloring of its incidences, using k colors, such that adjacent incidences receive distinct colors.
- The smallest k for which a k-incidence coloring of a graph G exists is called the incidence chromatic number of G,  $\chi_i(G)$ .

Defined by Brualdi and Massey in 1993.

- A k-incidence coloring of a graph is any coloring of its incidences, using k colors, such that adjacent incidences receive distinct colors.
- The smallest k for which a k-incidence coloring of a graph G exists is called the incidence chromatic number of G,  $\chi_i(G)$ .
- Defined by Brualdi and Massey in 1993.
- Related to other colorings, e.g. strong edge-coloring of fully subdivided graphs.



(ロ)、



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?



















■ For an incidence coloring *c*, the spectrum of a vertex *v*, *S<sub>c</sub>*(*v*), is the set of colors assigned to the incidences with the edges containing *v*, i.e.

$$S_c(v) = \{c(v, uv), c(u, uv) \mid uv \in E(G)\}.$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

$$S_c(v) = \{c(v, uv), c(u, uv) \mid uv \in E(G)\}.$$



$$S_c(v) = \{c(v, uv), c(u, uv) \mid uv \in E(G)\}.$$



$$S_c(v) = \{c(v, uv), c(u, uv) \mid uv \in E(G)\}.$$



$$S_c(v) = \{c(v, uv), c(u, uv) \mid uv \in E(G)\}.$$



$$S_c(v) = \{c(v, uv), c(u, uv) \mid uv \in E(G)\}.$$



$$S_c(v) = \{c(v, uv), c(u, uv) \mid uv \in E(G)\}.$$



$$S_c(v) = \{c(v, uv), c(u, uv) \mid uv \in E(G)\}.$$



$$S_c(v) = \{c(v, uv), c(u, uv) \mid uv \in E(G)\}.$$



$$S_c(v) = \{c(v, uv), c(u, uv) \mid uv \in E(G)\}.$$



$$S_c(v) = \{c(v, uv), c(u, uv) \mid uv \in E(G)\}.$$





◆□ → < @ → < E → < E → ○ < ♡ < ♡</p>

• 
$$S_c^0(v) = \{c(v, uv) \mid uv \in E(G)\}$$

■ 
$$S_c^0(v) = \{c(v, uv) \mid uv \in E(G)\}$$
  
■  $S_c^1(v) = \{c(u, uv) \mid uv \in E(G)\}$ 

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

• 
$$S_c^0(v) = \{c(v, uv) \mid uv \in E(G)\}$$

• 
$$S_c^1(v) = \{c(u, uv) \mid uv \in E(G)\}$$

• 
$$|S_c^0(v)| = d(v)$$
 and  $|S_c^1(v)| \ge 1$ 

• 
$$S_c^0(v) = \{c(v, uv) \mid uv \in E(G)\}$$

• 
$$S_c^1(v) = \{c(u, uv) \mid uv \in E(G)\}$$

• 
$$|S_c^0(v)| = d(v)$$
 and  $|S_c^1(v)| \ge 1$ 

Spectrum gives a simple lower bound:

$$\chi_i(G) \geq \min_{c} \max_{v \in V(G)} |S_c(v)| \geq \Delta(G) + 1.$$

 $(\Delta + 1)$ -graphs

• A  $(\Delta + 1)$ -graph is every graph G with

$$\chi_i(G) = \Delta(G) + 1.$$

 $\blacksquare$  Complete graphs, trees, outerplanar graphs with  $\Delta \geq$  7, planar graphs with  $\Delta \geq$  14,...

 $(\Delta + 1)$ -graphs

• A  $(\Delta + 1)$ -graph is every graph G with

$$\chi_i(G) = \Delta(G) + 1.$$

• Complete graphs, trees, outerplanar graphs with  $\Delta \geq$  7, planar graphs with  $\Delta \geq$  14,...

#### Theorem 1 (Sun, 2012)

If G is an n-regular graph, then  $\chi_i(G) = n + 1$  if and only if V(G) is a disjoint union of n + 1 (perfect) dominating sets.

### $(\Delta + 2)$ -conjecture

Conjecture 2 (Brualdi & Massey, 1993)

For every graph G

 $\chi_i(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

### $(\Delta + 2)$ -conjecture

Conjecture 2 (Brualdi & Massey, 1993)

For every graph G

 $\chi_i(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$ .

- Guilduli, 1997 Conjecture is false
- Paley graphs need  $\Delta + \Omega(\log \Delta)$
# $(\Delta + 2)$ -conjecture

Conjecture 2 (Brualdi & Massey, 1993)

For every graph G

 $\chi_i(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$ .

- Guilduli, 1997 Conjecture is false
- Paley graphs need  $\Delta + \Omega(\log \Delta)$

Theorem 3 (Guilduli, 1997)

For every graph G

 $\chi_i(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 20 \log(\Delta(G)) + 84$ .

# $(\Delta + 2)$ -conjecture

#### Conjecture 2 holds for e.g.

- subcubic graphs,
- partial 2-trees (hence also outerplanar graphs),
- toroidal grids,
- planar graphs with girth at least 6 and maximum degree at least 5,

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- complete bipartite graphs,
- • •

 $(\Delta + 2)$ -conjecture

The graph G of smallest order being a counter example (Clark & Dunning, 1997):

6-regular, 11 vertices,  $\gamma(G) = 3$ ,  $\chi_i(G) = 9$ 



# $(\Delta + 2)$ -conjecture

#### Theorem 4 (Maydanskiy, 2005)

$$\chi_i(G) \geq \frac{2|E(G)|}{|V(G)| - \gamma(G)}.$$

- So far, the only known graphs being counter-examples to the conjecture are the ones having high domination number
- Open for  $\Delta(G) \in \{4, 5\}$ .
- Strong edge-coloring result gives χ<sub>i</sub>(G) ≤ 2Δ(G) (Nakprasit, 2008)

# Subquartic graphs

#### Theorem 5 (Gregor, $\cdot$ , Soták, 2016)

For every graph G with maximum degree 4,

 $\chi_i(G) \leq 7$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# Subquartic graphs

Theorem 5 (Gregor,  $\cdot$ , Soták, 2016)

For every graph G with maximum degree 4,

 $\chi_i(G) \leq 7$ .

- 4-regular graphs on at most 14 vertices are  $(\Delta + 2)$ -graphs;
- [many 4-regular graphs on 15 vertices are  $(\Delta + 2)$ -graphs] :)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Subquartic graphs

#### Theorem 5 (Gregor, $\cdot$ , Soták, 2016)

For every graph G with maximum degree 4,

 $\chi_i(G) \leq 7$ .

- 4-regular graphs on at most 14 vertices are  $(\Delta + 2)$ -graphs;
- [many 4-regular graphs on 15 vertices are  $(\Delta + 2)$ -graphs] :)

#### Question 6

*Is it true that 6 (resp. 7) colors suffice for incidence coloring of graphs with maximum degree* 4 *(resp. 5)?* 

# Hypercubes

#### Theorem 7 (Pai et al., 2014)

For every integers 
$$p, q \ge 1$$
,  
(i)  $\chi_i(Q_n) = n + 1$ , if  $n = 2^p - 1$ ;  
(ii)  $\chi_i(Q_n) = n + 2$ , if  $n = 2^p - 2$  and  $p \ge 2$ , or  $n = 2^p + 2^q - 1$ ,  
or  $n = 2^p + 2^q - 3$  and  $p, q \ge 2$ .

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

# Hypercubes

#### Theorem 7 (Pai et al., 2014)

For every integers 
$$p, q \ge 1$$
,  
(i)  $\chi_i(Q_n) = n + 1$ , if  $n = 2^p - 1$ ;  
(ii)  $\chi_i(Q_n) = n + 2$ , if  $n = 2^p - 2$  and  $p \ge 2$ , or  $n = 2^p + 2^q - 1$ ,  
or  $n = 2^p + 2^q - 3$  and  $p, q \ge 2$ .

Our motivation:

Conjecture 8 (Pai et al., 2014)

For every  $n \ge 1$  such that  $n \ne 2^p - 1$  for every integer  $p \ge 1$ ,

$$\chi_i(Q_n)=n+2.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Cartesian products

**Observation.** Let G and H be arbitrary graphs. Then

$$\chi_i(G \Box H) \leq \chi_i(G) + \chi_i(H).$$

Is it possible that Conjecture 2 holds for Cartesian products?

### Cartesian products

**Observation.** Let G and H be arbitrary graphs. Then

$$\chi_i(G \Box H) \leq \chi_i(G) + \chi_i(H).$$

Is it possible that Conjecture 2 holds for Cartesian products?No.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

### Cartesian products

**Observation.** Let G and H be arbitrary graphs. Then

$$\chi_i(G \Box H) \leq \chi_i(G) + \chi_i(H).$$

- Is it possible that Conjecture 2 holds for Cartesian products?No.
- Consider a Paley graph P and  $K_2$ ,

$$\chi_i(P \Box K_2) = \Delta(P \Box K_2) + \Omega(\log(P \Box K_2)).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Cartesian products -1 color

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# Cartesian products (-1 color)

#### Theorem 9 (Gregor, $\cdot$ , Soták, 2016)

Let G be a  $(\Delta + 1)$ -graph and let H be a subgraph of a regular  $(\Delta + 1)$ -graph H' such that

$$\Delta(G) + 1 \geq \Delta(H') - \Delta(H).$$

Then,

$$\chi_i(G \Box H) \leq \Delta(G \Box H) + 2.$$

## Hypercubes - revisited

#### Corollary 10 (Gregor, ·, Soták, 2016)

For every  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\chi_i(Q_n) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} n+1 & \mbox{if } n=2^m-1 \mbox{ for some integer } m\geq 0, \ n+2 & \mbox{otherwise}. \end{array} 
ight.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

### Hypercubes - revisited

#### Corollary 10 (Gregor, ·, Soták, 2016)

For every  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\chi_i(Q_n) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} n+1 & ext{if } n=2^m-1 ext{ for some integer } m\geq 0, \ n+2 & ext{otherwise}. \end{array} 
ight.$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

The conjecture has also been solved independently by Shiau, Shiau, Wang, 2015

Cartesian products -2 colors

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# Cartesian products -2 colors

Not today :(

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# Open problems

#### Conjecture 11

Let G be a  $(\Delta + 1)$ -graph and H be a  $(\Delta + 2)$ -graph. Then,  $\chi_i(G \Box H) \le \Delta(G \Box H) + 2.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Open problems

#### Conjecture 11

Let G be a  $(\Delta + 1)$ -graph and H be a  $(\Delta + 2)$ -graph. Then,

 $\chi_i(G \Box H) \leq \Delta(G \Box H) + 2.$ 

#### Question 12

Do there exist graphs G and H with  $\chi_i(G) = \Delta(G) + 2$  and  $\chi_i(H) = \Delta(H) + 2$  such that  $\chi_i(G \Box H) > \Delta(G \Box H) + 2$ ?

# Open problems

#### Conjecture 11

Let G be a  $(\Delta + 1)$ -graph and H be a  $(\Delta + 2)$ -graph. Then,

$$\chi_i(G \Box H) \leq \Delta(G \Box H) + 2.$$

#### Question 12

Do there exist graphs G and H with  $\chi_i(G) = \Delta(G) + 2$  and  $\chi_i(H) = \Delta(H) + 2$  such that  $\chi_i(G \Box H) > \Delta(G \Box H) + 2$ ?

#### Question 13

When is the Cartesian product of two  $(\Delta + 1)$ -graphs also a  $(\Delta + 1)$ -graph.

# Thank you for your attention!

### Locally injective homomorphisms

• A homomorphism f of G to H is a mapping

$$f : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$$

such that if  $uv \in E(G)$ , then  $f(u)f(v) \in E(H)$ .

■ A homomorphism f is locally injective if  $f(u) \neq f(v)$  for every  $v \in V(G)$  and every pair  $vu, vw \in E(G)$ .

- f is injective on N(v), for every  $v \in V(G)$
- locally injective homomorphisms preserve adjacencies of incidences

# Locally injective homomorphisms

#### Theorem 14 (Duffy, 2015)

Let G and H be simple graphs such that G admits a locally injective homomorphism to H. Then

 $\chi_i(G) \leq \chi_i(H)$ .

#### Proposition 15

A graph G admits a (k, 1)-incidence coloring iff it admits a locally injective homomorphism to  $K_k$ .

- K<sup>-</sup><sub>2n</sub> is a complete graph on 2n vertices without a perfect matching
- A connected 2*d*-regular graph *G* is 2-permutable if it admits a locally injective homomorphism to  $K_{2d+2}^-$ .

- K<sup>-</sup><sub>2n</sub> is a complete graph on 2n vertices without a perfect matching
- A connected 2*d*-regular graph *G* is 2-permutable if it admits a locally injective homomorphism to  $K_{2d+2}^-$ .
- So:
  - G is (2d + 2)-partite (with partition sets  $P_1, \ldots, P_{2d+2}$ );
  - For every i,  $1 \le i \le 2d + 2$ , exists  $\overline{i}$  such that there are no edges between  $P_i$  and  $P_{\overline{i}}$ ;

- Every  $v \in P_i$  has at most one neighbor in  $P_j$ ,  $j \notin \{i, \overline{i}\}$ .
- Every 2-permutable graph is a  $(\Delta + 2, 1)$ -graph.

- K<sup>-</sup><sub>2n</sub> is a complete graph on 2n vertices without a perfect matching
- A connected 2*d*-regular graph *G* is 2-permutable if it admits a locally injective homomorphism to  $K_{2d+2}^-$ .
- So:
  - G is (2d + 2)-partite (with partition sets  $P_1, \ldots, P_{2d+2}$ );
  - For every i,  $1 \le i \le 2d + 2$ , exists  $\overline{i}$  such that there are no edges between  $P_i$  and  $P_{\overline{i}}$ ;

- Every  $v \in P_i$  has at most one neighbor in  $P_j$ ,  $j \notin \{i, \overline{i}\}$ .
- Every 2-permutable graph is a  $(\Delta + 2, 1)$ -graph.
- There exist (Δ + 2, 1)-graphs which are not 2-permutable, e.g. 7-cycle.

Examples:  $C_{4n}$  and  $K_{2n}^{-}$ 



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

Examples:  $C_{4n}$  and  $K_{2n}^{-}$ 



 Among 1544 4-regular graphs of order 12, there are 13 2-permutable graphs.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

#### Theorem 16

#### Let G be a 2-permutable graph. Then

$$\chi_i(G \Box K_2) = \Delta(G \Box K_2) + 1 \ (= \Delta(G) + 2).$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

#### Theorem 16

Let G be a 2-permutable graph. Then

$$\chi_i(G \Box K_2) = \Delta(G \Box K_2) + 1 \ (= \Delta(G) + 2).$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

The inverse of Theorem 16 does not hold in general.

#### Theorem 16

Let G be a 2-permutable graph. Then

$$\chi_i(G \Box K_2) = \Delta(G \Box K_2) + 1 \ (= \Delta(G) + 2).$$

- The inverse of Theorem 16 does not hold in general.
- The prism over the Dodecahedron is a (Δ + 1)-graph, while the Dodecahedron is not 2-permutable (it is cubic).

#### Theorem 16

Let G be a 2-permutable graph. Then

$$\chi_i(G \Box K_2) = \Delta(G \Box K_2) + 1 \ (= \Delta(G) + 2).$$

- The inverse of Theorem 16 does not hold in general.
- The prism over the Dodecahedron is a (Δ + 1)-graph, while the Dodecahedron is not 2-permutable (it is cubic).

The inverse holds for cycles.

### Sub-2-permutable graphs

A (non-regular) graph G is sub-2-permutable if it admits a locally injective homomorphism to K<sup>-</sup><sub>Δ(G)+2</sub>.

# Sub-2-permutable graphs

A (non-regular) graph G is sub-2-permutable if it admits a locally injective homomorphism to K<sup>-</sup><sub>Δ(G)+2</sub>.

#### Corollary 17

Let G be a sub-2-permutable graph. Then

$$\chi_i(G \square K_2) = \Delta(G \square K_2) + 1.$$

# 2-adjustable graphs

An incidence coloring of a graph G is adjustable if there exists a pair of colors x and y such that there is no vertex  $v \in V(G)$ with  $x, y \in S_0(v)$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• x and y are called free colors.
## 2-adjustable graphs

An incidence coloring of a graph G is adjustable if there exists a pair of colors x and y such that there is no vertex  $v \in V(G)$ with  $x, y \in S_0(v)$ .

- x and y are called free colors.
- A graph G is 2-adjustable if it admits an adjustable (∆(G) + 2)-incidence coloring.

# 2-adjustable graphs

An incidence coloring of a graph G is adjustable if there exists a pair of colors x and y such that there is no vertex  $v \in V(G)$ with  $x, y \in S_0(v)$ .

- x and y are called free colors.
- A graph G is 2-adjustable if it admits an adjustable (∆(G) + 2)-incidence coloring.
- Example: C<sub>5</sub>

• All  $(\Delta + 1)$ -graphs (the color  $\Delta(G) + 2$  is never used).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- All  $(\Delta + 1)$ -graphs (the color  $\Delta(G) + 2$  is never used).
- All (∆ + 1)-graphs together with a matching (two same colors can be put on a matching; they are free)

- All  $(\Delta + 1)$ -graphs (the color  $\Delta(G) + 2$  is never used).
- All (∆ + 1)-graphs together with a matching (two same colors can be put on a matching; they are free)

• Cycles, complete bipartite graphs, prisms over  $C_{6n}$ 

By  $\mathring{K}_n$  we denote the complete graph of order *n* with a loop at one vertex.



Figure: A  $\mathring{K}_5$ .

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

### Proposition 18

If a graph G admits a locally injective homomorphism to  $\mathring{K}_{\Delta(G)+1}$ , then G is 2-adjustable.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

#### Proposition 18

If a graph G admits a locally injective homomorphism to  $\mathring{K}_{\Delta(G)+1}$ , then G is 2-adjustable.

- The inverse statement is not true in general.
- $C_5$  is 2-adjustable, but does not admit a locally injective homomorphism to  $\mathring{K}_3$ .

### Cartesian products with -2 colors

#### Theorem 19

Let G be a sub-2-permutable graph and let H be a 2-adjustable graph. Then

 $\chi_i(G \Box H) \leq \Delta(G \Box H) + 2.$ 

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

# Open problems

### Conjecture 20

Let G be a  $(\Delta + 1)$ -graph and H be a  $(\Delta + 2)$ -graph. Then,  $\chi_i(G \Box H) \le \Delta(G \Box H) + 2.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Open problems

#### Conjecture 20

Let G be a  $(\Delta + 1)$ -graph and H be a  $(\Delta + 2)$ -graph. Then,

 $\chi_i(G \Box H) \leq \Delta(G \Box H) + 2.$ 

### Question 21

Do there exist graphs G and H with  $\chi_i(G) = \Delta(G) + 2$  and  $\chi_i(H) = \Delta(H) + 2$  such that  $\chi_i(G \Box H) > \Delta(G \Box H) + 2$ ?

# Open problems

#### Conjecture 20

Let G be a  $(\Delta + 1)$ -graph and H be a  $(\Delta + 2)$ -graph. Then,

 $\chi_i(G \Box H) \leq \Delta(G \Box H) + 2.$ 

#### Question 21

Do there exist graphs G and H with  $\chi_i(G) = \Delta(G) + 2$  and  $\chi_i(H) = \Delta(H) + 2$  such that  $\chi_i(G \Box H) > \Delta(G \Box H) + 2$ ?

#### Question 22

When is the Cartesian product of two  $(\Delta + 1)$ -graphs also a  $(\Delta + 1)$ -graph.

# Thank you for your attention!